

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Titchmarsh-Weyl theory and Levinson's theorem for Dirac operators

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1994 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 7835 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/27/23/027)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.68 The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 22:58

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# Titchmarsh–Weyl theory and Levinson's theorem for Dirac operators

Dominic P Clemence

Department of Mathematics, University of Zimbabwe, PO Box MP167, Mount Pleasant, Harare, Zimbabwe

Received 4 March 1994, in final form 12 October 1994

Abstract. For a Dirac system with a perturbation potential V(r) obeying  $\int_0^\infty (1+r) |V(r)| dr < \infty$ , the behaviour of the Titchmarsh-Weyl *m*-function near the spectral-gap endpoints is examined. As a consequence, we obtain another demonstration of the Levinson theorem.

### 1. Introduction

We consider the Dirac operators

$$H_0 = J[y' - B(r)y]$$
(1)

and

$$Hy = J[y' - (B(r) + P(r))y] \qquad 0 < r < \infty$$
(2)

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu/r & -E - M \\ E - M & -\mu/r \end{pmatrix}$$
$$P(r) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V \\ -V & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mu = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots \qquad M > 0$$

and

$$y(E,r) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(E,r) \\ y_2(E,r) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Our main assumption will be the limit-point hypothesis

$$\int_0^\infty (1+r)|V(r)|\,\mathrm{d} r < \infty. \tag{3}$$

Operators of the form (1) and (2), with various hypotheses, have recently attracted considerable attention [1-7] in connection with Levinson's theorem [8], by which the number of bound states of H are obtained by variation of an appropriate phase along the continuous portion of the spectrum. It is a purpose of this paper to provide another

0305-4470/94/237835+08\$19.50 © 1994 IOP Publishing Ltd

demonstration of this celebrated theorem. The contribution here is the method of proof. In [5] and [6], Green's function methods are used to obtain the Levinson theorem, in [1-3], Jost functions are employed and, in [4], the Sturm-Liouville theorem is used. Our present treatment will rely on the Titchmarsh-Weyl *m*-function (see [9-11] for the regular case) associated with the equation

$$Hy = Ey \tag{4}$$

which we view as a perturbation of

$$H_{o}y = Ey. \tag{5}$$

The spectrum of H is known to consist of an absolutely continuous part  $(-\infty, -M]$  $\bigcup[M, \infty)$  with a possible finite number of eigenvalues in (-M, M); and, hence, Levinson's theorem consists of varying an appropriate argument around  $\pm M$ ; from here also stems our interest in studying the *m*-function as  $E \to \pm M$ , whose behaviour also characterizes the existence of bound states (BS) or half bound states (HBS). Our results are only stated for the case  $E \to \pm M$  as the extension to  $E \to -M$  is obvious.

Our analysis will draw a lot on [2, 3]. In particular, our asymptotics are obtained in the same manner as in these references. As such, we will give a number of asymptotic results without detail and refer the reader accordingly. The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we obtain the Titchmarsh-Weyl *m*-function in terms of Jost-like functions. We then derive the *m*-function asymptotics and obtain the Levinson theorem in section 3.

### 2. Jost functions and *m*-functions

Here we shall describe the m-functions and obtain their representations in terms of Jost functions. Let us begin with the fundamental matrix for (5), i.e.

$$=kr \begin{pmatrix} [n_{\mu}(k)j_{\mu-1}(kr) - j_{\mu}(k)n_{\mu-1}(kr)] & \frac{E+M}{k} [j_{\mu-1}(k)n_{\mu-1}(kr) - n_{\mu-1}(k)j_{\mu-1}(kr)] \\ \frac{k}{E+M} [n_{\mu}(k)j_{\mu}(k)n_{\mu}(kr)] & [j_{\mu-1}(k)n_{\mu}(kr) - n_{\mu-1}(k)j_{\mu}(kr)] \end{pmatrix}$$
(6)

where  $n_{\mu}(x)$  and  $j_{\mu}(x)$  are the spherical Bessel functions and  $k = \sqrt{E^2 - M^2}$  is chosen so that k > 0 on  $M < E < \infty$  and k < 0 on  $-\infty < E < -M$ . In particular,  $Y^0_{\mu}(E, 1) = I_2$ . Then we partition  $Y^0_{\mu}(E, r)$  as  $[\theta^0_{\mu}(E, r) \phi^0_{\mu}(E, r)]$ . The *m*-functions for (5) at r = 0 and  $r = \infty$  are then, respectively, given by

$$m_{-}^{0}(E) = -\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\theta_{1}(E, r)}{\phi_{1}(E, r)}$$
(7)

and

~

$$m_{+}^{0}(E) = -\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\theta_{1}(E, r)}{\phi_{1}(E, r)}.$$
(8)

## Titchmarsh-Weyl-Levinson theory

Straightforward computation using (6) yields, as  $r \to \infty$  on Im k > 0,

$$\exp(i(kr - \pi\mu/2))Y^{0}_{\mu}(E, r) = \frac{k}{2} \begin{pmatrix} h_{\mu}(k) & -\frac{E+M}{k}h_{\mu-1}(k) \\ \frac{ik}{E+M}h_{\mu}(k) & -ih_{\mu-1}(k) \end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

where  $h_{\mu}(x) = n_{\mu}(x) + i j_{\mu}(x)$ ; and as  $r \to 0$ , we have

$$(kr)^{\mu}Y^{0}_{\mu}(E,r) = k \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(kr)^{2\mu}n_{\mu}(k)}{\Gamma_{-3}} + kr\Gamma_{3}j_{\mu}(k) & -\frac{E+M}{k} \left[ kr\Gamma_{3}j_{\mu-1}(k) + \frac{(kr)^{2\mu}n_{\mu-1}(k)}{\Gamma_{1}} \right] \\ \frac{k}{E+M} \left[ \frac{(kr)^{2\mu+1}}{\Gamma_{-1}} + j_{\mu}(k)\Gamma_{1} \right] & -\Gamma_{1}j_{\mu-1}(k) - \frac{(kr)^{2\mu+1}n_{\mu-1}(k)}{\Gamma_{-1}} \end{pmatrix} + o(1)$$

$$(10)$$

where  $\Gamma_a \equiv (2\mu - \alpha)!! = (2\mu - \alpha)(2\mu - \alpha - 2)(2\mu - \alpha - 4)\cdots$ . From (7) and (9), the *m*-functions for (5) are therefore given by

$$m_{-}^{0}(E) = \frac{k}{E+M} \frac{j_{\mu}(k)}{j_{\mu-1}(k)}$$
(11)

and

$$m_{+}^{0}(E) = \frac{k}{E+M} \frac{h_{\mu}(k)}{h_{\mu-1}(k)}.$$
(12)

Next, we look at solutions and *m*-functions for (4). By variation of parameters, the solution y(E, r) obeying

$$y(E, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(1) \\ y_2(1) \end{pmatrix}$$

is given by

$$y(E,r) = Y_{\mu}^{0}(E,r) \left[ \begin{pmatrix} y_{1}(1) \\ y_{2}(1) \end{pmatrix} + \int_{1}^{r} [Y_{\mu}^{0}(E,t)]^{-1} P(t) y(t)(E,t) dt \right].$$
(13)

Now let  $[Y^0_{\mu}(E,t)]^{-1}P(t)y(E,t)$  be denoted by Q(y(t)) and let

$$A_{y}(E) = \frac{k}{2} \left( h_{\mu}(k), -\frac{E+M}{k} h_{\mu-1}(k) \right) \left[ \begin{pmatrix} y_{1}(1) \\ y_{2}(1) \end{pmatrix} + \int_{1}^{\infty} Q(y(t)) dt \right].$$
(14)

Then (9) and standard asymptotics yield that, as  $r \to \infty$  for Im k > 0, we have

$$\exp(\mathrm{i}(kr - \pi \mu/2))y(E, r) = A_y(E) \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ \frac{\mathrm{i}k}{E+M} \end{pmatrix} + o(1).$$
(15)

In particular, (15) holds for the solutions  $\theta(E, r)$  and  $\phi(E, r)$  defined by  $[\theta(1, r), \phi(1, r)] = I$  and hence, the *m*-function for (4) at  $r = \infty$  is obtained as

$$m_{+}(E) = -\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\theta_{1}(E, r)}{\phi_{l}(E, r)} = \frac{A_{\theta}(E)}{A_{\phi}(E)}.$$
(16)

7837

Letting  $B_{y}(E)$  be defined as

$$B_{y}(E) = k\Gamma_{3}\left(j_{\mu}(k), -\frac{E+M}{k}j_{\mu-1}(k)\right)\left[\binom{y_{1}(1)}{y_{2}(1)} - \int_{0}^{1}Q(y(t))\right]$$
(17)

we find that, as  $r \rightarrow 0$  for Im k > 0, we have

$$(kr)^{\mu} y(E, r) = B_{y}(E) \left( \frac{r}{\Gamma_{1}} \frac{E+M}{k} \right) + o(r).$$
(18)

It therefore follows from (18) that the *m*-function for (4) at r = 0 is

$$m_{-}(E) = -\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\theta_{1}(E, r)}{\phi_{1}(E, r)} = -\frac{B_{\theta}(E)}{B_{\phi}(E)}.$$
(19)

A few comments regarding  $A_y(E)$  and  $B_y(E)$ , which we call Jost functions, are in order. It follows from (15) and (18) that  $(A_{\theta}, A_{\phi})$  and  $(B_{\theta}, B_{\phi})$  are non-vanishing pairs; for if either pair vanishes, then (4) has all  $L^2$  solutions at the appropriate endpoint. In particular, if we think of the operator H as the union  $T_0 \bigcup T_{\infty}$ , where  $T_0$  and  $T_{\infty}$  denote the restrictions of H to (0,1] and  $[1, \infty)$ , respectively, then the discete spectra of  $T_0$  and  $T_{\infty}$  are the zeros of  $B_{\phi}$  and  $A_{\phi}$ , respectively.

Let us recall [9] that the *m*-function for (4) on  $(0, \infty)$  is defined as

$$m(E) = \frac{1}{m^{-} - m^{+}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2}(m^{-} + m^{+}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(m^{-} + m^{+}) & m^{-}m^{+} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (20)

Also, if we let  $\rho(E)$  denote the spectral matrix function for H, then we recall that m and  $\rho$  are connected by the Titchmarsh-Kodaira formula

$$\rho(\lambda_2) - \rho(\lambda_1) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\nu \downarrow 0} \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \operatorname{Im} m(\mu + i\nu) \, \mathrm{d}\mu$$
(21)

at points of continuity  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  of  $\rho$ . We shall use this connection to obtain the behaviour of  $\rho$  as  $E \to M$ . On account of (16) and (19), we may write (20) as

$$m(E) = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
(22)

where

$$m_{11} = \frac{A_{\phi}(E)B_{\phi}(E)}{F(E)} \qquad m_{22} = \frac{A_{\theta}(E)B_{\theta}(E)}{F(E)}$$
$$m_{12} = m_{21} = \frac{A_{\theta}(E)B_{\phi}(E) + A_{\phi}(E)B_{\theta}(E)}{2F(E)}$$
$$F(E) = A_{\theta}(E)B_{\phi}(E) - A_{\phi}(E)B_{\theta}(E).$$
(23)

There are two other solutions  $\psi$  and f, relevant to our discussion, which we will call the Jost solutions. These are defined by their behaviours as  $r \to 0$  and  $r \to \infty$ , respectively, namely

$$\psi(E,r) \to r^{\mu} \left( \frac{\frac{1}{\Gamma_{1}}}{\frac{(E-M)r}{\Gamma_{-1}}} \right)$$
(24)

and

$$f(E,r) \to \exp(i(kr + \pi \mu/2))k^{\mu} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{ik}{E-M} \\ 1 \end{array} \right)$$
 (25)

and are explicitly given by

$$\psi(E,r) = r^{\mu} \left( \frac{\frac{1}{\Gamma_{1}}}{\frac{(E-M)r}{\Gamma_{-1}}} \right) + Y^{0}_{\mu}(E,r) \int_{0}^{r} Q(\psi(t)) dt$$
(26)

and

$$f(E,r) = k^{\mu} \left( \frac{E+M}{k} kr h_{\mu-1}(kr) \\ kr h_{\mu}(kr) \right) - Y^{0}_{\mu}(E,r) \int_{r}^{\infty} Q(f(t)) dt.$$
(27)

By evaluating Wronskian determinants, straightforward calculations then yield

$$A_{y}(E) = W[f(E, r); y(E, r)]$$
(28)

and

$$B_{y}(E) = W[\psi(E, r); y(E, r)]$$
(29)

where y(E, r) is given by (13). We therefore obtain the relations

$$f(E,r) = A_{\phi}(E)\theta(E,r) + A_{\theta}(E)\phi(E,r)$$
(30)

and

$$\psi(E,r) = B_{\phi}(E)\theta(E,r) + B_{\theta}(E)\phi(E,r).$$
(31)

In particular, we have that

$$F(E) = W[\psi(E, r); f(E, r)]$$
(32)

where F(E) is given by (23).

## 3. Asymptotics and Levinson's theorem

To obtain the *m*-function asymptotics, we shall need the solutions of equation (4) at E = M, i.e.

$$Hy = My \tag{33}$$

corresponding to those given in the last section. These are given by (13), (26) and (27) at E = M:

$$y(M,r) = Y^{0}_{\mu}(M,r) \left[ \left( \frac{y_{1}(M,1)}{y_{2}(N,1)} \right) + \int_{1}^{r} [Y^{0}_{\mu}(M,t)]^{-1} P(t) y(M,t) dt \right]$$
(34)

$$\psi(M,r) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{r^{\mu}}{\Gamma_{1}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \int_{0}^{r} Q^{0}(\psi(t)) dt$$
(35)

7840 D P Clemence

and

$$f(M,r) = \exp(i(kr + \mu\pi/2))k^{\mu} \left(\frac{ik}{E-M}\right) + \int_{r}^{\infty} Q^{0}(f(t)) dt$$
(36)

where we have denoted  $Q^0(z(t)) = [Y^0_{\mu}(M, t)]^{-1} P(t) z(M, t)$  and note that

$$Y^{0}_{\mu}(M,t) = \begin{pmatrix} r^{\mu} & \frac{2M\Gamma_{3}}{\Gamma_{1}}(r^{-\mu+1} - r^{\mu}) \\ 0 & r^{-\mu} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (37)

The corresponding Jost functions are given by

$$A_{y}(M) = (1, -2M\Gamma_{3}/\Gamma_{1})\left(\binom{y_{1}(M, 1)}{y_{2}(M, 1)} + \int_{1}^{\infty} Q^{0}(y(t)) dt\right)$$
(38)

and

$$B_{y}(M) = (0, 1) \left( \begin{pmatrix} y_{1}(M, 1) \\ y_{2}(M, 1) \end{pmatrix} - \int_{0}^{1} Q^{0}(y(t)) dt \right)$$
(39)

which also have the identifications (28) and (29) and verify relations (30) and (31) *mutatis mutandis*. The following lemma is then obtained in the same way as lemma 2.1 of [4].

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that V(r) obeys (3) and let  $\delta > 0$ . Then, there is a constant C depending only on  $\delta$  such that, for  $E \in [M, M + \delta]$ :

(a) if  $A_{y}(M) = 0$  and  $\mu \ge \frac{3}{2}$ , then

$$|y_1(E,r) - y_1(M,r)| \leq Ck^2 \left(\frac{r}{1+kr}\right)^{\mu}$$

and

$$|y_2(E,r) - y_2(M,r)| \leq Ck^2 \left[ \left( \frac{r}{1+kr} \right)^{\mu+1} + \left( \frac{r}{1+kr} \right)^{\mu} \right]$$

(b) if  $A_y(M) = 0$  and  $\mu < \frac{3}{2}$ , then

$$|y_i(E,r) - y_i(M,r)| \le Ck^2 \left[ \left( \frac{kr}{1+kr} \right)^2 + \frac{k^2r}{1+kr} \right] \qquad i = 1, 2$$

Using lemma 3.1, we are then able to prove the next result, the proof of which follows that of theorem 2.2 of [3] (cf lemma 3.2b of [2]).

Lemma 3.2. The asymptotic behaviour of  $A_y(E)$  and  $B_y(E)$ , uniformly on  $0 \leq \arg(E - M) \leq 2\pi$ , is as follows.

(a) (i) If  $A_y(M) = 0$  and  $\mu \ge \frac{3}{2}$ , then  $A_y(E) = a_y^> k^2 + o(k^2)$ . (ii) If  $A_y(M) = 0$  and  $\mu < \frac{3}{2}$ , then  $A_y(E) = a_y^< k + o(k)$ , where

$$a_{y}^{>} = \frac{\Gamma_{1}}{2Md_{y}} ||y(M, \cdot)||^{2} \qquad a_{y}^{<} = -2iMd_{y} \qquad d_{y} = -\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{\mu} V(t) y_{1}(M, t) dt.$$

(b) If  $B_{y}(M) = 0$ , then  $B_{y}(E) = b_{y}k^{2} + o(k^{2})$ , where

$$b_{y} = \int_{1}^{\infty} t^{\mu} V(t) \left[ y_{1}(M, t) - \frac{2M}{\Gamma_{-1}} t y_{2}(M, t) \right] dt.$$

Recalling (32), we may evaluate Wronskians using (26), (27), (35) and (36) to obtain the following representations:

$$F(E) = \left(1, k^{2\mu} \frac{E+M}{ik}\right) \left(\psi(E,0) + \int_0^\infty Q(\psi(t)) dt\right)$$
(40)

and

$$F(M) = 1 + \int_0^\infty V(t)t[\Gamma_1\psi_2(M,t) + 2M\Gamma_3t\psi_1(M,t)]\,\mathrm{d}t.$$
(41)

Standard asymptotics then yield the following lemma (we refer the reader to [2] for its proof).

Lemma 3.3. The asymptotic behaviour of F(E) as  $E \rightarrow M$ , uniformly for  $0 \leq M$  $\arg(E - M) \leq 2\pi$ , is as follows.

- (a) If F(M) = 0 and  $\mu \ge \frac{3}{2}$ , then  $F(E) = f^{>}k^{2} + o(k^{2})$ . (b) If F(M) = 0 and  $\mu < \frac{3}{2}$ , then  $F(E) = f^{<}k^{2} + o(k^{2})$ , where

$$f^{>} = \frac{\Gamma_1}{2Mg} ||\psi(M, \cdot)||^2 \qquad f^{<} = -2Mig \qquad g = -\int_0^\infty V(t) t^{\mu} \psi_1(M, t) \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

(c) In fact, one has  $f^{<>} = (A_{\theta}(M)b_{\phi} + B_{\phi}(M)a^{<>}) - (A_{\phi}(M)b_{\theta} + B_{\theta}(M)a^{<>}).$ 

We now prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. The asymptotic behaviour of m(E) as  $E \rightarrow M$  is as follows.

(a)  $F(M) = 0 \iff \lim_{\nu \downarrow 0} \nu m(M + i\nu) = S^{>}, \mu \ge 2.$ 

(b)  $F(M) = 0 \iff \lim_{\nu \downarrow 0} \nu^{1/2} m(M + i\nu) = S^{<}, \mu < 2$ , where S<sup><</sup> and S<sup>></sup> are matrix functions of M and  $\mu$  only.

*Proof.* This follows directly from lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 and the *m*-function representation (21). First, we note that the numerators in (22), evaluated at E = M, cannot simultaneously vanish. Hence, if  $F(M) \neq 0$ , we then have  $m(M + i\nu) = S_o(M)/F(M)$ , where  $S_o(\cdot)$  is a non-zero matrix, so that  $\nu^{1/2}m(M+i\nu) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\nu m(M+i\nu) \rightarrow 0$ . If F(M) = 0 and  $\mu \ge \frac{3}{2}$ , we then obtain  $m(M + i\nu) = S_0(M)/f^2k^2$  and, noting that  $k = 2iM\nu^{1/2} + O(\nu)$ as  $\nu \to 0$ , we therefore obtain (a). For F(M) = 0 and  $\mu < \frac{3}{2}$ , we similarly have  $m(M + i\nu) = S_o(M)/f^{<}k$  and, hence, (b). 

### Remarks.

(i) Theorem 3.4(a) precisely states that E = M is a bound state (recalling that a halfbound state does not occur at E = M for  $\mu \ge 2$ ) provided  $m(M + i\nu)$  approaches  $S^{>}/\nu$ as  $v \downarrow 0$ , which says m becomes singular at E = M with a simple pole. This is expected since the poles of m, all of which are simple, are precisely the bound states of H.

(ii) Part (b) of theorem 3.4 says that E = M is a half-bound state provided  $m(M + i\nu)$ approaches  $S_{o}/\nu^{1/2}$  as  $\nu \downarrow 0$ , which is the same as the behaviour obtained for the Dirac system with non-singular potentials [9-11].

As an immediate corollary of theorem 3.4 and the well known identity (21), we therefore obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5.

(a) 
$$\lim_{E \downarrow M} \frac{d\rho(E)}{dE} = 0 \text{ if no BS or HBS occur at } E = M$$
  
(b) 
$$\lim_{E \downarrow M} \frac{d\rho(E)}{dE} = \frac{S_o(M)}{4\pi M^2 f^> (E - M)} \text{ if a BS occurs at } E = M$$
  
(c) 
$$\lim_{E \downarrow M} \frac{d\rho(E)}{dE} = \frac{S_o(M)}{2\pi f^< M (E - M)^{1/2}} \text{ if a HBS occurs at } E = M.$$

By (32) and the fact that  $\psi$  and f are the only square integrable solutions at r = 0and  $r = \infty$ , respectively, we see that the zeros of F(E) are precisely the bound states of H, excepting  $E = \pm M$ . If we let  $\delta(E)$  denote the phase angle of F(E), i.e. let  $F(E) = |F(E)| \exp(i\delta(E))$ , we then have the following theorem, whose proof follows from the standard argument principle (exactly as in [2], noting that  $M \to -M$ ) and the obvious extension of lemma 3.3 to E = -M.

Theorem 3.6 (Reference [2] theorem (1.1)). Let  $N_{\mu}$  denote the number of bound states of H in [-M, M]. Then:

(a) 
$$N_{\mu} = \frac{1}{\pi} (\delta_{\mu}(M) + \delta_{\mu}(-M)), \ \mu \ge \frac{3}{2}; \ \text{and}$$
  
(b)  $N_{\mu} = \frac{1}{\pi} (\delta_{\mu}(M) + \delta_{\mu}(-M)) + \frac{\Delta}{2\pi}, \ \mu < \frac{3}{2}, \ \text{where}$   
 $\Delta = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if a HBS does not occur at } E = M \\ -\pi & \text{if a HBS occurs at } E = M. \end{cases}$ 

# Acknowledgments

I am grateful to the referees for correcting the statement of theorem 2.6 and drawing my attention to reference [7]. Reference [4] was also included at the referee's suggestion.

# References

- Clemence D P 1989 Low-energy scattering and Levinson's theory for a one-dimensional Dirac equation Inverse Problems 5 269-86
- [2] Clemence D P 1990 Levinson's theorem for a Dirac system Inverse Problems 6 175-84
- [3] Klaus M 1990 On the Levinson theorem for Dirac operators J. Muth. Phys. 31 182-90
- Ma Z Q 1985 Levinson's theorem for Dirac particles moving in a background magnetic monopole field Phys. Rev. D 32 2203-12
- [5] Ma Z and Ni G 1985 Levinson theorem for Dirac particles Phys. Rev. D 31 1482-5
- [6] Martinez J C 1987 Levinson theorem for a fermion-monopole system J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 20 2903-8
- [7] Poliatzky N 1992 Levinson's theorem for Dirac equation Preprint WIS-92/101/DEC-PH
- [8] Levinson N 1949 On the uniqueness of the potential in a Schrodinger equation for a given asymptotic phase Mat. Fys. Medd. 25 1-29
- [9] Clemence D P 1990 On the Titchmarsh-Weyl m(λ)-coefficient and spectral density for a Dirac system Proc. R. Soc. (Edinburgh) A 114 259-77
- [10] Hinton D B, Klaus M and Shaw J K 1988 Levinson's theory and Titchmarsh-Weyl theory for Dirac systems Proc. R. Soc. A 109 173-86
- [11] Hinton D B, Klaus M and Shaw J K 1989 Titchmarsh-Weyl-Levinson theory for a four-dimensional Hamiltonian system Proc. London Math. Soc. 59 339-72